Soliton solutions in two-dimensional Lorentz-violating higher derivative scalar theory

[1,3]E. Passos*, [4]C. A. G. Almeida†, [1,2]F. A. Brito‡, [1,4]R. Menezes§, [2]J. C. Mota-Silva¶, [1]J. R. L. Santos**

[1]*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Caixa Postal 10071, 58109-970 Campina Grande, Paraíba, Brazil*

[2]*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Caixa Postal 5008, 58051-970, João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil.*

[3]*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 21945, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, and*

[4]*Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58297-000, Rio Tinto, Paraíba, Brazil.*

arXiv:1610.04216v1 [hep-th] 13 Oct 2016

This paper shows a new approach to obtain analytical topological defects for a $2D$ Myers-Pospelov Lagrangian for two scalar fields. Such a Lagrangian presents higher-order kinetic terms, which lead us to equations of motion which are non-trivial to be integrated. Here we describe three possible scenarios for the equations of motion, named by time-like, space-like and light-like respectively. We started our investigation with a kink-like travelling wave *A*nsatz for the free theory, which led us to constraints for the dispersion relations of each scenario. We also introduced a method to obtain analytical solution for the general theory in the three mentioned scenarios. We exemplified the method and discussed the behavior of the defects solutions.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Cp, 11.10.Gh, 11.15.Tk, 11.30.Er

* Electronic address: passos@df.ufcg.edu.br
† Electronic address: cviniro@gmail.com
‡ Electronic address: fabrito@df.ufcg.edu.br
§ Electronic address: betomenezes@gmail.com
¶ Electronic address: julio_cmota@yahoo.com.br
** Electronic address: joaorafael@df.ufcg.edu.br

I. INTRODUCTION

The perspective of a new physics at Planck energy scale ($M_P \sim 10^{19}$ GeV), may lead us to scenarios described by Lorentz violating theories. In such level of energy both Lorentz and Charge-Parity-Time (CPT) symmetries are expected to be broken. One of the main works which describes these scenarios, is Myers and Pospelov seminal paper [1], where it was considered cubic modifications for the dispersion relations related with mass operators of dimension-five along with a constant four-vector n_μ interacting with scalars, fermions and photons fields. In order to explore the effects of these new theories, the authors introduced an electromagnetic Lagrangian by a modified kinetic term of the following form: $\mathcal{L}_{MP} = g\, n^\mu\, F_{\mu\nu}(n\ \cdot\ \partial)n_\alpha \widetilde{F}^{\alpha\nu}$, where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic field strength tensor and $\widetilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} = (1/2)\,\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\lambda\rho}\, F_{\lambda\rho}$ its dual $U(1)$ gauge field tensor. Moreover, $g = \xi/M_P$, with ξ being a dimensionless parameter supressed by the Planck scale M_P. Notice also that by using the Bianchi identity and the gauge condictions: $\partial \cdot A = 0$, the previous Lagragian reduces to $\mathcal{L}_{MP} = g\,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} n_\mu (n\cdot\partial)^2(\partial_\nu A_\lambda)A_\rho$. The mentioned paper conjectured that the previous field theory provides a framework where one can determine bounds on Planck scale interactions.

In the last few years theoretical and phenomenological investigations have used the above effective model as an instrument to look for the Lorentz-violation from quantum field theory. The theoretical studies summarized in the quantization calculations [2], stability and analytical behavior [3, 4] and curved spacetime approach [5]. On the other hand, the phenomenological studies derive constraints on Lorentz invariance violation by using recent astrophysical observations, as one can see in references [6–11], for instance. Moreover, it was pointed by Brito *et al.* [12] that it is also relevant to focus on low-dimensional effects of Lorentz-violating operators. Therefore, the authors successfully projected the 4D Myers-Pospelov QED in a 2D theory. The procedure of projection leaded to a transition with higher-derivative operators whose the form is given as

$$\mathcal{L}_{MP} = g\,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} n_\mu (n\cdot\partial)^2(\partial_\nu A_\lambda)A_\rho \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{2D} = g\,\varepsilon^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} n_{\hat{\alpha}} (\hat{n}\cdot\hat{\partial})^2 \chi \partial_{\hat{\beta}}\phi \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ runs from 0 to 1. One of the future perspectives pointed by [12] was to investigate the presence of topological defects solutions in the scalar sector of the model. Therefore, our main objective in this work is to introduce an analytical procedure to find topological defects which satisfy the equations of motion derived from the scalar sector of $\mathcal{L}_{2D}$.

Topological defects are present in several branches of physics, such as condensed matter physics, biological models, and braneworld scenarios, for instance. These solutions are desired since they exhibit the property of being stable under small perturbations, besides they have finite energy. Some classes of models were investigated in order to search for topological defects solutions like those studied by Bazeia *et al.* [13], where the authors presented analytical statical models whose forms of the potentials and of the solutions depend on the intensity of the Lorentz breaking term. The previous approach was generalized by de Souza Dutra *et al.*[14], by constructing a two-field Lorentz violating model in $1+1$ dimensions, which has analytical travelling wave and statical defects. A relevant feature about the 2D Myers-Pospelov Lagrangian when compared to those previous models, is that the first has higher derivative terms, which imposes several difficulties to find analytical solutions. In order to overcome such a problem, we introduce a new method to solve equations of motion with higher order derivatives. This method can be successfully applied to statical and to travelling wave defects. We present this paper in four sections which are briefly described below.

In section II we present the 2D Myers-Pospelov Lorentz violating Lagrangian for two scalar fields in $1+1$ dimensions, we derive the equations of motion for such a Lagrangian for three different scenarios named time-like, space-like and light like. Moreover in section II we use a kink-like *Ansatz* to explore a particular version of the equation of motion, where $V = V(\phi)$. We analyse the features of such an *Ansatz* carefully for each scenario. Further, in sections III and IV we introduce the new method which allows us to obtain analytical statical and travelling wave solutions for the 2D Myers-Pospelov model. There, we also exemplify the method by using a sine-Gordon superpotential. We point our conclusions, perspectives and future proposals in section V.

II. THE MODEL AND SOME PARTICULAR SOLUTIONS

The scalar Lagrangian modified by 2D Myers-Pospelov term, can be represented as

$$\mathcal{L}_{2D} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\phi\partial^{\hat{\mu}}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\chi\partial^{\hat{\mu}}\chi\ + g\varepsilon^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} n_{\hat{\alpha}} (\hat{n}\cdot\hat{\partial})^2 \chi\partial_{\hat{\beta}}\phi - V(\phi,\chi), \tag{2}$$

when we are dealing with a two scalar field Lagrangian which has generalized dynamics. Here we are also considering $\hat{\mu} = 0, 1$, meaning a $1+1$ dimensional theory. Moreover, the constant g can be called as a breaking parameter, since it

controls the influence of the Lorentz breaking term into the Lagrangian. The equation of motion for the scalar fields ϕ and χ are, respectively, given by

$$\Box\phi + g\epsilon^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} n_{\hat{\alpha}} (\hat{n}\cdot\hat{\partial})^2 \partial_{\hat{\beta}}\chi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} = 0,$$
$$\Box\chi - g\epsilon^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} n_{\hat{\alpha}} (\hat{n}\cdot\hat{\partial})^2 \partial_{\hat{\beta}}\phi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\chi} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Notice that the above equations depend on the choice of $n_{\hat{\alpha}}$, therefore, they may change when the last one is purely time-like, is purely space-like or is purely light-like. The set of equations associated with the time-like, the space-like, and the light like configurations are given by

$$\ddot{\phi} - \phi'' + g\ddot{\chi}' + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} = 0\,; \tag{4a}$$
$$\ddot{\chi} - \chi'' - g\ddot{\phi}' + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\chi} = 0\,, \tag{4b}$$

$$\ddot{\phi} - \phi'' + g\dot{\chi}'' + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} = 0\,; \tag{5a}$$
$$\ddot{\chi} - \chi'' - g\dot{\phi}'' + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\chi} = 0\,, \tag{5b}$$

$$\ddot{\phi} - \phi'' + g\big(\dddot{\chi} - \ddot{\chi}' - \dot{\chi}'' + \chi'''\big) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} = 0\,; \tag{6a}$$
$$\ddot{\chi} - \chi'' - g\big(\dddot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi}' - \dot{\phi}'' + \phi'''\big) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\chi} = 0\,, \tag{6b}$$

respectively. Let us examine in details some peculiarities about these three previous scenarios, by taking a special case where the potential $V = V(\phi)$, which allows us to rewrite the equations of motion (4b), (5b), and (6b) relative to the field χ in the following forms

$$\ddot{\chi} - \chi'' - g\,\ddot{\phi}' = 0\,; \qquad \text{time-like}\,, \tag{7}$$

$$\ddot{\chi} - \chi'' - g\,\dot{\phi}'' = 0\,; \qquad \text{space-like}\,, \tag{8}$$

$$\ddot{\chi} - \chi'' - g\,\Big(\dddot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi}' - \dot{\phi}'' + \phi'''\Big) = 0\,; \qquad \text{light-like}\,. \tag{9}$$

Then, if we consider

$$\phi(x,t) = \tanh\left(k\,x + \omega\,t\right), \tag{10}$$

as an *Ansatz* for the field ϕ, we can clearly see that the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{\phi} = \omega\,(1-\phi^2)\,; \qquad \phi' = k\,(1-\phi^2)\,; \\
&\ddot{\phi} = -2\,\omega^2\,(1-\phi^2)\,\phi\,; \qquad \phi'' = -2\,k^2\,(1-\phi^2)\,\phi\,; \qquad \dot{\phi}' = -2\,\omega\,k\,(1-\phi^2)\,\phi \\
&\ddot{\phi}' = 6\,\omega^2\,k\,\phi^2\,(1-\phi^2) - 2\,\omega^2\,\phi'\,; \qquad \dot{\phi}'' = 6\,\omega\,k^2\phi^2(1-\phi^2) - 2\,\omega\,k\,\phi'\,; \\
&\dddot{\phi} = 6\,\omega^3\,\phi^2\,(1-\phi^2) - 2\,\omega^2\,\dot{\phi}\,; \qquad \phi''' = 6\,k^3\,\phi^2\,(1-\phi^2) - 2\,k^2\,\phi'\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

are satisfied for such a solution. Now, let us take

$$\chi = f(\phi) = \phi^2/2\,, \tag{12}$$

as a connection between both fields, which can be applied together with the equations presented in (11) to analyze each different configuration. By starting with the time-like scenario, the previous ingredients lead us to rewrite (4a) as

$$-\phi'' - 8\,g\,\omega^2\,\phi\,\phi' = 0\,, \tag{13}$$

for

$$V_{\phi}(\phi) = 2\,\omega^{2}(1-\phi^{2})\,\phi - 12\,g\,\omega^{2}\,k\,(1-\phi^{2})\,\phi^{3}\,. \tag{14}$$

Therefore, by substituting our initial *Ansatz* into Eq. (13), we determine the dispersion relation $k = 4\,g\,\omega^{2}$. Moreover, Eq. (7) imposes that $\omega_{\pm} = \pm\left(2\sqrt{2}\,g\right)^{-1}$, which means that $k = (2\,g)^{-1}$. So, the final form of our potential is simply given by

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\phi^{2}}{8g^{2}}\left(1-\phi^{2}\right)^{2}\,. \tag{15}$$

In the space-like regime, Eq. (5a) is represented as

$$-\,\phi'' - 8\,g\,\omega\,k\,\phi\,\phi' = 0\,, \tag{16}$$

if

$$V_{\phi}(\phi) = 2\,\omega^{2}(1-\phi^{2})\,\phi - 12\,g\,\omega\,k^{2}\,(1-\phi^{2})\,\phi^{3}\,, \tag{17}$$

such results impose the constraint $\omega = (4\,g)^{-1}$ for the solution (10). Consequently, Eq. (8) implies in $k_{\pm} = \pm(2\sqrt{2}\,g)^{-1}$, and the final form for our potential in this case is

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\phi^{2}}{16g^{2}}\left(1-\phi^{2}\right)^{2}\,. \tag{18}$$

After several manipulations based on (12), and (11), the light-like equation of motion for field ϕ can be reduced to

$$-\,\phi'' - 8\,g\,\left(k^{2}-\omega^{2}-\omega\,k\right)\,\phi\,\phi' = 0\,, \tag{19}$$

for

$$V_{\phi}(\phi) = 2\,\omega^{2}(1+4\,g\,\omega)(1-\phi^{2})\,\phi - 12\,g\,\left(\omega^{3}-\omega^{2}\,k-\omega\,k^{2}+k^{3}\right)\,(1-\phi^{2})\,\phi^{3}\,. \tag{20}$$

So, by inspecting Eq. (19) subject to (10) we find the dispersion relation

$$k_{\pm} = \frac{1}{8\,g}\,\left(1+4\,g\,\omega \pm \sqrt{1+8\,g\,\omega+80\,g^{2}\,\omega^{2}}\right)\,, \tag{21}$$

moreover, Eq. (9) leads us to the constraints $\omega_{1} = -(4\,g)^{-1}$ for $k_{1\,\pm} = \pm\,(4\,g)^{-1}$, and $\omega_{2} = (2\,g)^{-1}$ for $k_{2} = (g)^{-1}$ and such results imply in $V_{1\,\phi} = 0$, and

$$V_{2\,\phi} = \frac{3\left(3\phi^{5}-4\phi^{3}+\phi\right)}{2g^{2}}\,, \tag{22}$$

respectively. Thus, the potential V_{2} can be written as

$$V_{2}(\phi) = \frac{3\phi^{2}}{4g^{2}}\,\left(\phi^{2}-1\right)^{2}\,. \tag{23}$$

An interesting feature in respect to this approach is that equations (13), (16), and (19) can be viewed as the famous Burgers equation [15, 16] for waves with low velocities.

Some solutions which satisfy Burgers equation (as well as, its generalized versions such those introduced in [17]), can present the nice property of being chiral, which means that the waves travel with well defined signs for their velocities [17]. This chiral propagation is observed in the space-like, and in the light-like solutions as we can see in Fig 1. The graphics were plotted with $t = 0.5$ (left-hand side of each scenario) and $t = 1$ (right-hand side of each scenario), there the blue (dashed) curves show ϕ for k and ω_{+}, for k_{+} and ω, and for $k_{1\,+}$ and ω_{1} for time-like, space-like and light-like scenarios respectively. Moreover, the red (thin) curves represent ϕ for k and ω_{-}, for k_{-} and ω, and for $k_{1\,-}$ and ω_{1} for time-like, space-like and light-like cases respectively. Furthermore, the green (thick) solution corresponds to the k_{2} and ω_{2} case for the light-like scenario. It is also interesting to note that the dispersion relations founded show that k and ω depend on the breaking parameter g, which means that the fields ϕ and χ are sensible to the Lorentz violation.



FIG. 1: Field ϕ Eq. (10) for time-like, space-like and light-like scenarios, with $g = 0.1$. The time evolution of the solutions unveil the chiral behavior of the space-like and of the light-like solutions.

III. GENERAL ANALYTICAL STATICAL MODELS

Despite the previous solutions that we found, it is relevant to search for general sets of fields which can satisfy the equations of motion of the three presented configurations in a more natural way. In order to do so, let us firstly work with one-dimensional statical defects, which means that $\phi = \phi(x)$ and $\chi = \chi(x)$. Such a choice results in the following forms for Eq. (4) and (5)

$$-\phi'' + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0\,; \qquad -\chi'' + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \chi} = 0\,, \tag{24}$$

and these are the equations of motion related with a standard two-field Lagrangian, which can present stable solutions. However, the set of equations of motion mentioned in (6) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} -\phi'' + g\,\chi''' + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} &= 0 \\ -\chi'' - g\,\phi''' + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \chi} &= 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

consequently, this set stills preserve general kinetic terms.

Then, in this section we are going to investigate the possibility to determine analytical statical solutions for these last equations. In order to proceed, let us establish the following first-order differential equations

$$\phi' = W_\phi\,; \qquad \chi' = W_\chi\,, \tag{26}$$

where $W_\phi = \partial W/\partial\,\phi$, $W_\chi = \partial W/\partial\,\chi$, and $W = W(\phi, \chi)$ which is known as the superpotential.

So, we may rewrite (25) as

$$\begin{aligned} V_\phi \;=\; & W_{\phi\phi}\,W_\phi + W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi} - g\,\left(W_{\chi\chi}^2\,W_\chi + W_{\chi\chi}\,W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi} + W_{\phi\phi}\,W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi}\right. \\ +\; & \left. W_{\chi\chi\chi}\,W_\chi^2 + W_\phi^2\,W_{\phi\phi\chi} + W_\chi\,W_{\chi\chi\phi}\,W_\phi + W_\chi\,W_{\chi\chi\phi}\,W_\phi + W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi}^2\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$V_\chi = W_{\chi\,\chi}\,W_\chi + W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi} + g\left(W_{\chi\chi}\,W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi} + W_{\phi\,\phi}^2\,W_\phi + W_{\phi\,\phi}\,W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi}\right.$$
$$\left. +\;\; W_{\phi\phi\phi}\,W_\phi^2 + W_\chi^2\,W_{\chi\chi\phi} + W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi}^2 + W_\chi\,W_\phi\,W_{\phi\phi\chi} + W_\chi\,W_\phi\,W_{\phi\phi\chi}\right)\,. \tag{28}$$

From Eq. (27) and (28), one can see that $(V_\phi)_\chi$ is not equal to $(V_\chi)_\phi$ for $g \neq 0$. Such a behavior is a direct consequence of the extra kinematic term in the Lagrangian.

As our next step to find analytical solutions for these previous equations, let us establish that the fields ϕ and χ are connected by a arbitrary function f (known as deformation function) [18] in such a way that

$$\phi = f(\chi)\,; \qquad \chi = f^{\,-1}(\phi)\,, \tag{29}$$

thus, we can redefine V_ϕ and V_χ as

$$\widetilde{V}_\phi = V_\phi + \widetilde{f}(\phi,\chi) - \widetilde{f}(\phi)\,; \qquad \widetilde{V}_\chi = V_\chi\,. \tag{30}$$

Moreover, the forms $\widetilde{f}(\phi,\chi)$ and $\widetilde{f}(\phi)$ are equivalent, and were written by using the deformation function and its inverse, therefore, $\widetilde{V}_\phi$ presented in (30) is equal to V_ϕ showed in Eq. (27). After the definitions presented in Eq. (30), our new equations of motion are

$$-\phi'' + g\,\chi''' + \frac{\partial\widetilde{V}}{\partial\phi} = 0$$
$$-\chi'' - g\,\phi''' + \frac{\partial\widetilde{V}}{\partial\chi} = 0\,. \tag{31}$$

Furthermore, $\widetilde{V}_\phi$ and $\widetilde{V}_\chi$ need to obey the following relation

$$\widetilde{V}_{\phi\chi} = \widetilde{V}_{\chi\,\phi}\,, \tag{32}$$

leading us to a constraint for the function $\widetilde{f}$, whose form is

$$\widetilde{f}_\chi(\phi,\chi) = (V_\chi)_{\,\phi} - (V_\phi)_{\,\chi}\,. \tag{33}$$

Thus, by integrating $\widetilde{f}_\chi(\phi,\chi)$ in respect to χ we can compute a specific form for function $\widetilde{f}$ and then, by substituting this function into (30) we are able to determine $\widetilde{V}(\phi,\chi)$. Once we have $\widetilde{V}$, we can check that analytical solutions which obey the first-order differential equations, are going to satisfy the equations of motion observed in (31).

A. Example

Let us illustrate this new method with an example involving two decoupled sine-Gordon models, which means that our superpotential has the form

$$W = \cos(\phi) + \cos(\chi)\,, \tag{34}$$

thus, the first-order differential equations showed in (26) are satisfied by

$$\phi(x) = \arccos\left(\tanh(x)\right)\,; \qquad \chi(x) = \arccos\left(\tanh(x)\right)\,, \tag{35}$$

and were plotted in the panels of Fig. 2. Consequently, the deformation function that connects both fields is simply

$$\phi = f(\chi) = \chi\,. \tag{36}$$

Now, by taking the derivatives of W in respect to ϕ and χ, and by putting them into (33), we find

$$\widetilde{f}_\chi(\phi,\chi) = \frac{g}{2}\left[\cos(\chi) - 3\cos(3\chi) + \cos(\phi) - 3\cos(3\phi)\right]\,, \tag{37}$$

whose integration over χ results in

$$\widetilde{f}(\phi,\chi) = \frac{g}{2}\left[\sin(\chi) - \sin(3\chi) + \chi\cos(\phi) - 3g\chi\cos(3\phi)\right]\,. \tag{38}$$

So, we can use the inverse of the deformation function f to rewrite the last equation as

$$\widetilde{f}(\phi) = \frac{g}{2}\left[\sin(\phi) - \sin(3\phi) + \phi\cos(\phi) - 3\phi\cos(3\phi)\right] . \tag{39}$$

Then, by putting these forms of $\widetilde{f}$ together with (27) and (28), back into Eq. (30), we obtain

$$\widetilde{V}_{\phi} = \sin(\phi)\left[g\cos(2\phi) + \cos(\phi)\right] - \frac{g}{2}(\phi - \chi)\,\left[\cos(\phi) - 3\cos(3\phi)\right] ; \tag{40}$$

$$\widetilde{V}_{\chi} = \frac{1}{2}\left[g\sin(\phi) - g\sin(3\phi) + \sin(2\chi)\right] , \tag{41}$$

which lead us to the effective potential

$$\widetilde{V}(\phi,\chi) = c + \frac{g}{2}\,\chi\,\sin(\phi) - \frac{g}{2}\,\chi\,\sin(3\phi) - \frac{g}{2}\,\phi\,\sin(\phi) + \frac{g}{2}\,\phi\,\sin(3\phi) - \frac{1}{4}\,\cos(2\chi) - \frac{1}{2}\,\cos^2(\phi)\,, \tag{42}$$

where c is a real integration constant. Thus, we can substitute Eq. (40), (41) together with the analytical solutions given in Eq, (35), into (31), to show that these analytical solutions satisfy the equations of motion.

In order to have a potential which is zero for $\phi = \chi = 0$ and for $\phi = \chi = \pi$, we take $c = 3/4$. Such a choice also means that the kink-like solutions introduced in (35), connect two topological sectors of $\widetilde{V}$, whose features can be appreciated in the right panel of Fig. 2.



FIG. 2: Fields ϕ and χ for the sine-Gordon model, which exhibit kink-like profiles, and potential $\widetilde{V}$ for $g = 0.1$ and $c = 3/4$.

IV. GENERAL ANALYTICAL TRAVELLING WAVE SOLUTIONS

Let us analyse the possibility of travelling wave solutions for time-like, space-like and light-like scenarios. In such an approach we are working with

$$\phi = \phi(k\,x + \omega\,t)\,; \qquad \chi = \chi(k\,x + \omega\,t)\,, \tag{43}$$

which means that

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\omega}{k}\,\phi'\,; \qquad \ddot{\phi} = \frac{\omega^2}{k^2}\,\phi''\,; \tag{44}$$

$$\dot{\chi} = \frac{\omega}{k}\,\chi'\,; \qquad \ddot{\chi} = \frac{\omega^2}{k^2}\,\chi''\,. \tag{45}$$

Thus, Eq. (4), (5), (6) can be rewritten as

$$a\,\phi'' + b_i\,\chi''' + V_\phi = 0\,;$$
$$a\,\chi'' - b_i\,\phi''' + V_\chi = 0\,, \tag{46}$$

with $i = 1$ for time-like, $i = 2$ for space-like and $i = 3$ for light-like, moreover, the explicit values of constants a and b_i are

$$a = \frac{\omega^2}{k^2} - 1\,; \qquad b_1 = g\,\frac{\omega^2}{k^2}\,; \qquad b_2 = -g\,\frac{\omega^2}{k^2}\,; \qquad b_3 = g\left(1 - \frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2} + \frac{\omega^3}{k^3}\right). \tag{47}$$

Therefore, by considering the first-order differential equations established in Eq. (26) (where fields ϕ and χ are connected via (29)), and by repeating the methodology presented in the last section, we find the following effective potentials

$$\widetilde{V}_\phi = V_\phi + \widetilde{f}(\phi,\chi) - \widetilde{f}(\phi)\,; \qquad \widetilde{V}_\chi = V_\chi\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_\phi \;&=\; -a\,(W_{\phi\phi}\,W_\phi + W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi}) - b_i\,\big(W_{\chi\chi}^2\,W_\chi + W_{\chi\chi}\,W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi} + W_{\phi\phi}\,W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi} \\ &+\; W_{\chi\chi\chi}\,W_\chi^2 + W_\phi^2\,W_{\phi\phi\chi} + W_\chi\,W_{\chi\chi\phi}\,W_\phi + W_\chi\,W_{\chi\chi\phi}\,W_\phi + W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi}^2\big)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_\chi \;&=\; -a\,(W_{\chi\,\chi}\,W_\chi + W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi}) + b_i\,\big(W_{\chi\chi}\,W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi} + W_{\phi\,\phi}^2\,W_\phi + W_{\phi\,\phi}\,W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi} \\ &+\; W_{\phi\phi\phi}\,W_\phi^2 + W_\chi^2\,W_{\chi\chi\phi} + W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi}^2 + W_\chi\,W_\phi\,W_{\phi\phi\chi} + W_\chi\,W_\phi\,W_{\phi\phi\chi}\big)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

as in the previous section $\widetilde{f}$ obeys the constraint viewed in Eq. (33).

It is also possible to determine the energy of each defect solution by computing and by integrating the Hamiltonian density of each scenario. The general relation of the Hamiltonian density for a two scalar field model is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\partial\,\mathcal{L}}{\partial\,\dot{\phi}} + \frac{\partial\,\mathcal{L}}{\partial\,\dot{\chi}} - L\,, \tag{50}$$

then, once the shape of the Hamiltonian density is fixed and only translate under time evolution, we can perform the following change of variables: $\xi = k\,x + \omega\,t$; leading us to the total energy

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\,\xi\,k^{-1}\,\mathcal{H}_i \tag{51}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \mathrm{sech}^{\,2}(\xi)\,\left[2\,k^2 + h_i\,g\,\tanh(\xi)\right] + \frac{g\,\widetilde{h}_i}{2}\,(\cos(2\,\xi) - 3)\,\cos^{-1}\left[\tanh(\xi)\right]\mathrm{sech}^{\,3}(\xi)\,; \qquad i = 1,2,3\,, \tag{52}$$

with

$$h_1 = k\,\omega^{\,2}\,; \qquad h_2 = 0\,; \qquad h_3 = k\,(k-\omega)(k+3\,\omega)\,; \tag{53}$$

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = k\,\omega^2\,; \qquad \widetilde{h}_2 = -\omega\,k^2\,; \qquad \widetilde{h}_3 = (k^2 - \omega^2)(k+\omega)\,. \tag{54}$$

Here the $\mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{H}_2$, and $\mathcal{H}_3$ are the Hamiltonian densities for the time-like, for the space-like and for the light-like scenarios respectively. Then, the total energy for the three cases are

$$E_1 = E_2 = E_3 = 4\,k\,, \tag{55}$$

presenting a finite value for the defects solutions.

An interesting aspect about both time and space like travelling wave models is that they are not related with their respective statical systems, since for $\omega = 0$, equations (48), and (49), are reduced to

$$V_\phi = W_{\phi\phi}\,W_\phi + W_\chi\,W_{\phi\chi}\,; \qquad V_\chi = W_{\chi\,\chi}\,W_\chi + W_\phi\,W_{\phi\chi}\,, \tag{56}$$

and (33) implies in $\widetilde{f}_\chi = 0$, which means that $\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{f}(\phi)$, resulting in $\widetilde{V}_\phi = V_\phi$, and $\widetilde{V}_\chi = V_\chi$. So, it is not possible connect the statical models with the travelling wave ones by a simple Lorentz boost. Analogously, the travelling wave model for the light-like scenario cannot be connected with its statical version obtained in the previous section by a simple Lorentz boost, once b_3 has the form presented in Eq. (47).

A. Examples

Let us show the features of these three travelling wave models with two simple examples. As a first example we are going to consider a superpotential composed by two decoupled sine-Gordon models, following the lines of the previous section. The explicit form of the superpotential, and its first-order equations are

$$W = k\,\cos(\phi) + k\,\cos(\chi)\,; \qquad \phi' = -k\,\sin(\phi)\,; \qquad \chi' = -k\,\sin(\chi)\,, \tag{57}$$

and the last are satisfied by the analytical solutions

$$\phi = \arccos\left(\tanh(k\,x + \omega\,t)\right)\,; \qquad \chi = \arccos\left(\tanh(k\,x + \omega\,t)\right)\,. \tag{58}$$

The deformation function which connects both fields is $\phi(\chi) = \chi$ again. Then, we can substitute these ingredients into (33) for V_ϕ and V_χ written as (48) and (49). The integration of $\widetilde{f}_\chi$ in respect to χ lead us to

$$\widetilde{f} = b_i k^3(\sin(\chi) - \sin(3\chi) + \chi\cos(\phi) - 3\chi\cos(3\phi))\,, \tag{59}$$

then, the effective potentials for the three scenarios are

$$\widetilde{V} = \frac{a}{4}k^2\cos(2\chi) + \frac{a}{2}k^2\cos^2(\phi) + \frac{b_i}{2}k^3\chi\sin(\phi) - \frac{b_i}{2}k^3\chi\sin(3\phi) - \frac{b_i}{2}k^3\phi\sin(\phi) + \frac{b_i}{2}k^3\phi\sin(3\phi) + c_i\,, \tag{60}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, with

$$c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = -\frac{3}{4}\,a\,k^2\,, \tag{61}$$

where such values were chosen in order to have a topological sector connected by the analytical fields ϕ, and χ, whose vacua values are $\phi = \chi = 0$, and $\phi = \chi = \pi$.

The second example is simple version of the so-called BNRT model introduced by Bazeia et al. [19], whose superpotential and its first-order differential equations are

$$W = k\left(\phi - \frac{\phi^3}{3}\right) - k\,\phi\,\chi^2\,; \qquad \phi' = k\left(1 - \phi^2\right) - k\,\chi^2\,; \qquad \chi' = -2\,k\,\phi\,\chi \tag{62}$$

this set of equations has the following analytical solutions

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{4e^{2\,k\,x + 2\,\omega\,t} + 1}\,; \qquad \chi(t) = \frac{4e^{2\,k\,x + 2\,\omega\,t}}{4e^{2\,k\,x + 2\,\omega\,t} + 1}\,, \tag{63}$$

the statical version of these solutions are presented in [20]. Therefore the deformation function in this case is $\phi(\chi) = \chi - 1$, and by repeating the procedure of the sine-Gordon case, we can collect these ingredients and put into (33) for V_ϕ and V_χ written as (48) and (49). Such a procedure results in

$$\widetilde{f} = -16 b_i\,k^3\,\phi\,\left(3\,\chi^3 - 2\,\chi + 3\,\chi\,\phi^2\right)\,; \qquad i = 1,\,2,\,3\,, \tag{64}$$

so the effective potentials for the three scenarios are

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{V} \;=\; & \frac{k^2}{30}\Big[4\,b_i\,k\,\left(-9\,\chi^5 + 20\,\chi^3 - 15\,\chi + 144\,\phi^5 - 45\,(\chi - 8)\,\phi^4 + 280\,\phi^3\right. \\ & \left. +30\,\left(-3\,\chi^3 + 2\,\chi + 2\right)\,\phi^2\right) - 15\,a\,\left(\chi^4 - 2\,\chi^2 + \phi^4 + \left(6\,\chi^2 - 2\right)\,\phi^2\right)\Big] + c_i\,,\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where

$$c_i = \frac{8\,b_i}{15} - \frac{a}{2}\,, \tag{66}$$

and these values were chosen in order to have $\widetilde{V} = 0$ for the vacua of the topological kinks ϕ and χ which are $\phi_v = -1, 0$, and $\chi_v = 0, 1$ as we can see in Fig 3. The energy of the BPS travelling wave defects can be obtained

by repeating the procedures presented in Eq. (50) until Eq. (55). Therefore, the energy for the time-like, for the space-like and for light-like are given by

$$E_1 = E_2 = E_3 = \frac{2}{3}; \qquad \text{for } k = 1\,. \tag{67}$$

A very relevant feature concerning the behavior of the static and of the time-dependent fields when we work with this new method is that they do not feel the influence of the Lorentz breaking term for these choices of W presented in (34), (57) and (62). Such a influence is propagated to the potentials $\widetilde{V}$ Eq. (42), (60), and (65), since their shapes directly depend on g. Although these changing in shapes, the topological sector connected by fields ϕ, and χ stills the same. Therefore, we realize that if we would like to obtain defects which would feel the influence of the Lorentz-violating terms, such as those approached in section II, and in references [13]-[14], we need to include the breaking parameter in the definition for the superpotential W.



FIG. 3: Fields ϕ and χ for the BNRT model, which exhibit kink-like profiles, and potential $\widetilde{V}$ for $g = 0.1$ and $k = 1$, $b_i = 1/8$, and $a = -1$.

V. FINAL REMARKS

In this work we studied carefully the 2D Myers-Pospelov Lagrangian, which was written in terms of two real scalar fields ϕ and χ. In our investigations, we were able to derive the equations of motion for three distinct scenarios, time-like, space-like, and light-like. Our first analyses concerning the equations of motion of these different approaches showed that they are satisfied for a specific set of analytical fields which are solutions of the Burgers equation at low velocities. We established a new method to obtain analytical solutions for statical, as well as for travelling wave fields related with such a Lagrangian. In order to have a better perception about the applicability of the method, we exemplified it with statical and time dependent fields, which satisfy two decoupled sine-Gordon models. The final form of the potentials $\widetilde{V}(\phi, \chi)$ are not positive defined, however, we were able to find a topological sector which is connected by the fields. We also applied the method for the BNRT model, which is the simplest solvable example of a two scalar coupled fields model. As it was pointed in the end of section IV, we realized that the defects of both examples do not feel the influence of the Lorentz breaking term, despite the potentials do. This is an immediate consequence of the fact that the topological sector related to the fields does not depend on g. We believe that the introduced method unveil a new route to determine analytical solutions for models with generalized kinematic terms, and it can be easily applied to other scenarios which can involve or not Lorentz violating terms.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank CNPq, CAPES, PNPD/PROCAD- CAPES.

[1] R. C. Myers, and M. Pospelov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 211601 (2003).
[2] C. M. Reyes, L. F. Urrutia, and J. D. Vergara, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 125011 (2008).
[3] C. Mart Reyes, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 125036 (2010).
[4] Eslley Scatena, and Rodrigo Turcati, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 127703 (2014).
[5] Leonardo Campanelli, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 105014 (2014).
[6] L. Maccione, S. Liberati, and G. Sigl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 021101 (2010).
[7] G. Gubitosi, G. Genovese, G. Amelino-Camelia, and A. Melchiorri, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 024013 (2010).
[8] A. Saveliev, L. Maccione, and G. Sigl, JCAP **046**, 1103 (2011).
[9] J. P. Noordmans, J. de Vries, and R. G. E. Timmermans, Phys. Rev. C **94**, 025502 (2016).
[10] P. Laurent, D. G otz, P. Bintruy, S. Covino, and A. Fernandez-Soto, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 121301 (2011).
[11] E. Passos, E. M. C. Abreu, M. Anacleto, F. A. Brito, C. Watzasek, and C. A. D. Zarro, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 085022 (2016).
[12] F. A. Brito, M. S. Guimaraes, E. Passos, P. Sampaio, and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 105036 (2012).
[13] D. Bazeia, M.M. Ferreira Jr., A.R. Gomes, and R. Menezes, Physica D **239**, 942 (2010).
[14] A. de Souza Dutra, and R. A. C. Correa, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 105007 (2011).
[15] J.M. Burgers, Adv. Appl. Mech. **1**, 171 (1948).
[16] J.M. Burgers, *The Nonlinear Diffusion Equation*, Reidel, Boston, (1974).
[17] D. Bazeia, *"Chiral Solutions to Generalized Burgers and Burgers-Huxley Equations"*, arXiv:solv-int/9802007.
[18] D. Bazeia, L. Losano, and J.M.C. Malbouisson, Phys. Review D **66**, 101701 (2002).
[19] D. Bazeia, J. R. S. Nascimento, R. F. Ribeiro, and D. Toledo, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30**, 8157 (1997).
[20] A. de Souza Dutra, Phys. Lett. B **626**, 249 (2005).